

He who thinks he is the wisest is generally a fool.

MISSIONARY

PREMILLENNIAL

BIBLICAL

BAPTISTIC

# The Baptist Examiner

Paid Circulation In All States and In Many Foreign Countries

"To the law and to the testimony; if they speak not according to this word it is because there is no light in them."—Isaiah 8:20.

VOL. 19, NO. 40

RUSSELL, KENTUCKY, NOVEMBER 7, 1953 WHOLE NUMBER 770

## Why You Can't Rub Out The Jew! ★

Long years ago, God called the Jews as His chosen people and in spite of the world's likes and dislikes, they are still His chosen people. I offer four passages of Scripture to substantiate this statement:

"Wherefore say unto the children of Israel, I am the Lord, and I will bring you out from under the burdens of the Egyptians, and I will rid you out of their bondage, and I will redeem you with a stretched out arm, and with great judgments: And I will take you to me for a people, and I will be to you a God: and ye shall know that I am the Lord your God, which bringeth you out from under the burdens of the Egyptians. And I will bring you in unto the land, concerning the which I did swear to your fathers, saying, I will give it you for an heritage: I am the Lord." Exodus 6:6-8.

"And ye shall be holy unto me: for I the Lord am holy, and ye shall be separated from other people, that ye should be mine." Lev. 20:26.

"For thou art an holy people unto the Lord thy God, and the Lord hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, above all the nations that are on the earth."—Deut. 14:2.

"Thus saith the Lord, which hath the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar; the Lord of hosts is his name: those ordinances depart from before me, saith the Lord, then the seed of Israel also shall depart from being a nation before me for ever. Thus saith the Lord, If heaven above can be measured, and the foundations of the earth searched out beneath, I will also cast off all the seed of Israel for all that they have done, saith the Lord."—Jer. 31:35-37.

Realizing that his people were chosen people, when Benjamin Franklin was taunted in the English House of Commons because of his Jewish ancestry, he said, "Yes, I am a Jew; and when your Gentile ancestors were naked savages in the forests, my ancestors were High Priests in the Temple of God."

Although the Jews are God's chosen people, they have been sorely persecuted. Many times they have been upon the very brink of destruction and annihilation. They have been subjected to spurning scorn, and have been made the laughing

stock of the nations, thus being sorely persecuted for centuries past.

The first attempt at their destruction was under the Pharaohs in 1571 to 1491 B. C. In the days of Joseph, the Jews had settled in the land of Egypt. Their prosperity caused the Egyptians to fear the Jews, with the result that they soon brought them into bondage. Hard labor and severe treatment became their lot. Afflictions and oppressions were heaped upon them for years until God raised up a chosen leader, Moses by name. Pharaoh had even gone so far as to demand the death of all boy babies born in the land. In spite of the king's command, Moses was born and survived, and eventually he delivered the Jews through the Red Sea while the Egyptians impetuously following, were drowned.

The second attempt to destroy the Jews was under Nebuchadnezzar in 558 B. C. As Israel became settled in the land of Palestine, God gave them fifteen judges who successively ruled over the land. The fourteenth judge was Eli, who was a priest as well as a judge, while the fifteenth Samuel, was a judge and a prophet combined. Under his despotic power, Israel changed from a theocracy to a monarchy with Saul, David, and Solomon as its first kings. Shortly after these three, degeneration set in; and as a result of their idolatry, Israel declined and fell. The northern kingdom of ten tribes was captured by Assyria in 721 B. C., and were deported into other lands. The southern kingdom of Judah was captured by Nebuchadnezzar in 538 B. C., who burned the temple, stole its treasures, and carried the Jews into Babylon. Here they were in exile for 70 years. Various attempts were made for their destruction when in Babylon; especially in that Daniel was cast into the lion's den, and others of his friends were cast into the fiery furnace. At the

### THE SERMON THIS WEEK

Twice within the past year we have printed the sermon of this issue. Multiplied thousands of copies of it have been sent out, all over the nation. Of recent months we have had to turn down hundreds of requests for it. Thus to meet this need as they continue to come, we are reprinting it in this issue. Pray that God may bless it, as it goes forth.

end of this 70 years captivity, part of the Jews who had been deported to Babylon, returned to Palestine. It was under Ezra and Nehemiah that the temple and the city walls were rebuilt. Thus this second attempt to destroy them ended in failure.

The third attempt to destroy the Jews was under Ahasuerus

### OUR WEEKLY RADIO PROGRAMS

KFKA — 1310 On The Dial  
Greeley, Colo.  
Sunday, 5:30 P. M.

WIRO — 1230 On The Dial  
Ironton, Ohio  
Sunday, 9:00 p. m.

WHJC — 1360 On The Dial  
Matewan, W. Va.  
Saturday, 1:15 p. m.

WHTN — 800 On The Dial  
Huntington, W. Va.  
Sunday, 7:45 a. m.

WSNJ — 1240 On The Dial  
Bridgeton, N. J.  
Sunday, 2:45 p. m.

HE HAS STOOD BY THE GRAVE OF EVERY OPPRESSOR



in 510 B. C. Haman, a descendant of Agag, offered to pay a fabulous sum to the king of Persia for the privilege of annihilating the Jews. Yet God did not allow the enemies of the Jews to prevail. The wickedness of Haman was thwarted and he himself was hanged upon the gallows which he had prepared for Mordecai.

The fourth attempt to destroy the Jews was under Antiochus Epiphanes in 170 B. C. By skillful plotting, Antiochus involved the people in civil and religious disputes among themselves. Led by their high priest, the Jews eventually revolted. Antiochus, in retaliation, destroyed Jerusalem, plundered the temple, and even offered the sacrifice of a sow on the Jewish altar. The Jewish nation and the worship of the one true God nearly perished altogether at this time. God met the crisis, however, by raising up the Maccabees who opposed Antiochus. It was through the efforts of the Maccabees that Jerusalem was entered in triumph, the temple cleansed and the whole country from Judah to Galilee was regained for the Jews.

The fifth attempt to destroy

the Jews was made by the Roman Conquest of 70 A. D. When the Jews regained their independence from Antiochus Epiphanes they entered into a voluntary alliance with Rome. This proved to be their undoing. In 66 A. D., the Jews at Jerusalem revolted, and Titus, a Roman general, besieged the city. There were nearly 3,000,000 people living in Jerusalem at that time; 1,300,000 of them died as a result of the siege; 97,000 of them were carried captive into Egypt. It was the greatest catastrophe that had ever fallen upon the Jewish nation.

Even in more modern days, the Jews have been sorely persecuted. Not so many years ago, the Russian government expelled all Jews from the country of Poland. 200,000 of them were hurriedly deported from the land. This included aged men, little children, mothers with newborn babes, the insane from the asylums, those who were sick unto death, and even soldiers with bleeding wounds yet unhealed; all of them were expelled. On three occasions, England has driven the Jews from Great Britain; and eight times, France has purged herself of all Jews. The only civilized nation of the world which has not stained its statute books with laws against the Jews, is our own country. How we ought to thank God that this land has always stood for religious freedom!

A question logically arises: Why is it the Jews cannot be destroyed? The Pharaoh who attempted in Egypt to drown all the boy babies, was himself drowned in the Red Sea; Babylon and Persia, are nations long since forgotten; Antiochus Epiphanes (Continued on page four)

## The First Baptist Pulpit

### "THE NEW MODERN UNHOLY BIBLE"

"For ever, O Lord, thy word is settled in heaven."—Psa. 119:89.

If you will look on either the front, or the backbone of your Bible, you will find in one or the other of those two places two words, "Holy Bible," which means literally, "a holy book." Ever since the Lord Jesus Christ called me into His ministry, I have been preaching from an Holy Bible. This one which I hold in my hand this morning, which is beginning to become somewhat frayed and tattered,

is the third of these Holy Bibles that I have used, after having had both of the two previous ones rebound twice. In contrast, this morning I hold in my other hand, another Bible, which is likewise called an Holy Bible. But, beloved, as I have often said, it isn't the name over the church door that signifies what a church believes. You may call it a Baptist church, but if it doesn't stand for the Word of God, it isn't a Baptist church. It isn't the name that makes us Baptists, but it is what we believe, and contend for that sig-

nifies our Baptist position. Though this is called an Holy Bible both on the front and on the backbone, I would insist that if it were properly named, it would instead be called an Unholy Bible; and by God's grace I expect to show you why I refer to it as the new, modern unholy Bible.

Every since this new Bible made its appearance and came on the market the last part of last year, I have been deluged with letters from the readers of THE BAPTIST EXAMINER (Continued on page two)

### ONLY BREAKABLE PART OF BIBLE

A post-office clerk asked an old lady who was mailing a Bible to her brother in a distant city if there was anything breakable in her package.

"Only the Ten Commandments," the woman snapped.

### MASS BAPTISM OF HERETICS

One by one, 4,640 men, women and children filed into a Broadway swimming pool in New York City and were baptized as Noah's Witnesses. The ceremony lasted five hours and was (Continued on page four)



# THE BAPTIST EXAMINER

JOHN R. GILPIN — EDITOR

PUBLISHED WEEKLY

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE

(Domestic and Foreign)

One Year in Advance.....50c

Send Remittance to Russell, Ky.  
Editorial Department, RUSSELL KENTUCKY, where communications should be sent for publication.

Entered as second-class matter May 31, 1941, in the post office at Russell, Ky., under the act of March 3, 1879.

Paid circulation in every state and many foreign countries.

Subscriptions are stopped at expiration unless renewed or special arrangements are made for their continuation.

## "The New Modern Unholy Bible"

(Continued from page one)

scattered all over America and also have been asked a number of questions by folk here within this local congregation as to what I thought of the new revised edition of the Scriptures. I haven't been too hurried nor too quick in my answer, but rather, beloved, have studied very carefully and have amassed a great wealth of information which I have gathered from others who have had time to study it, even more fully than I. I have done this before I have taken this opportunity to give my answer as to what I think of this new Bible.

I would like to remind you that I am not in agreement with that one dear sister who wrote saying that she didn't think much of these modern, new fangled translations, for said she, "If the King James version of the Bible were good enough for the Apostle Paul, then it is good enough for me." Well, I am not in agreement with her, for the King James Version of the Bible was only made about sixteen hundred years after the Apostle Paul had died and gone to Glory, so I am not speaking this morning from the standpoint that this dear sister spoke, when she wrote her letter. However, beloved, I would like to remind you that the Bible was written originally in three languages. Most of the Old Testament was written in Hebrew, a very, very small portion of the Old Testament was written in Aramaic, and all of the New Testament was written in Greek, so that in the days of the Apostle Paul, of that portion of the Bible that he possessed, none of it was written in the English language. None of it was read from the King James Version when the Apostle Paul, nor any of the balance stood up to preach from God's Book.

In the year 1611, King James of England set about the task of having the Bible translated out of the Hebrew, the Aramaic and the Greek into the English language so that the people of his country over which he ruled might have the Bible in its entirety in the English language. Since it was at the command-

ment of the king, and since it was authorized by him, and since the translators were paid in full by the English government, it naturally bore the name of the Authorized Version. That is to say, it was authorized by King James, and in the year 1611 that Bible was handed to the English-speaking people of the world, and since that day has been the recognized volume of Scriptures, for the great majority of folk who read, and study the Word of God.

Then in the year 1901, another translation was made, which was called the Revised Version. The Revised Version was made for one reason, and one reason only. There were certain archaic forms of words which had changed meaning in the interim between 1611 when the King James Version was written, and in 1901 when the Revised Version was presented. For example, in the year 1611, the word "charity" meant "the highest kind of love that a person could bestow upon another," but, beloved, you know today that the word "charity" certainly doesn't in any wise signify the highest form of love. Instead, beloved, when you think of charity, immediately your mind goes to reaching down into your pocket and getting out a little piece of money, and doling it out to someone who may be in need. Paul said:

"Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels, and have not CHARITY, I am become as sounding brass, or a tinkling cymbal."—I Cor. 13:1.

Beloved, when you read the word "charity" in the Word of God, it is definitely not the idea of handing out funds to someone, but rather, it is the highest kind of love that the Apostle Paul is speaking of. Hence, beloved, in 1901 when the Revised Version of the Scriptures came from the press, such words had changed their meaning. Such words that were no longer good English words were changed in the R. V. Practically the only changes that were made in the Revised Version in 1901, over the Authorized Version of 1611, were the words that had changed meaning, and the archaic form of words that were no longer considered even good English words.

Now on September 30, 1952, we have a new Bible. I might say that between 1901 and 1952 there have been lots of translations made of the Word of God. We have the Moffat translation of the Bible, the Goodspeed translation of God's Word, and Helen Barrett Montgomery's translation of the New Testament. There are others, and all of these are modernistic. Beyond a shadow of a doubt, every one of these translations are tintured and poisoned with the virus of modernism. However, beloved, the Devil waited until September 30, 1952, to put off on all the American people, the greatest hoax so far as a so-called Bible is concerned, that

is possible for any human being to ever imagine, for when this new Bible was put on the market several months ago — when it was thus published it was published with one sole purpose in mind, namely, to kill the orthodox form of Christianity and to teach modernism from beginning to end.

I make no apology to any individual who has bought, or who has studied one of these new Bibles, but I tell you that this is the modernist's Bible, and the man who studies it, and believes it, and accepts it, is nothing short of a modernist when he does so.

Now I am willing to agree that there are some things about this new Bible that are quite commendatory. For example, in the twelfth chapter of Acts the word "Easter," which was definitely mistranslated in the King James Version, has been removed. Listen:

"And when he had seized him, he put him in prison, and delivered him to four squads of soldiers to guard him, intending after the Passover to bring him out to the people."—Acts 12:4.

In that one instance, beloved, this new Bible is to be commended. It has definitely taken a word which was positively mistranslated in 1611 and has given to it its proper translation today. Anyone who has ever studied Greek, or who knows anything at all about the New Testament as it was written in the original Greek language, knows positively that the word that is translated "Easter" in the King James Version which is translated "Passover" in this new, modern unholy Bible — anyone who knows anything at all about the Greek language will agree that it is definitely and positively a tremendous help and improvement over the King James Version.

But where you find one instance like that, you will find "scads" of instances where the Word of God has been mutilated at the hands of the modernists. Let me give you a few examples and cite to you some reasons why that you and I as God's people don't need this new unholy Bible. Follow with me as I shall read first from the King James Version and then from this new, modern translation.

I

I imagine the greatest amount of criticism that has been brought against this new Bible, centers around the translation of Isaiah 7:14. In fact, most everyone who has criticized it, has criticized it from this standpoint. In the King James Version we read:

"Therefore the Lord himself shall give you a sign; Behold, a VIRGIN shall conceive, and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel."

"Now, in the new Bible we read:

"Therefore the Lord himself will give you a sign. Behold, a YOUNG WOMAN shall conceive and bear a son, and shall call his name Immanuel."

In the King James Version it says that a virgin shall conceive; in the new Bible it says that a young woman shall conceive. There is a tremendous difference between a virgin and a young woman. A young woman may be a virgin, and at the same time she may not be a virgin. That is nothing short of an attempt to destroy the virgin birth of the Lord Jesus Christ. I am satisfied that the thirty-two translators who worked on this new Bible for the past sixteen years, had in mind that by the removal of the word "virgin," that they would be able to destroy at least in part the virgin birth of the Lord Jesus Christ. However, it is rather conspicuous, and it certainly shows the folly of man, that in Isaiah 7:14 they removed the word "virgin" from the Scripture but when they came to the first chapter of the Gospel of Matthew, which is a quotation from Isaiah 7:14, they quote it and give the word "virgin" in the quotation, for we read:

"Behold, a virgin shall conceive and bear a son, and his name shall be called Emmanuel."—Mt. 1:23.

In the verse preceding this it says:

"All this took place to fulfill what the Lord had spoken by the prophet."—Mt. 1:22.

It is highly conspicuous that they removed the word "virgin" from Isaiah 7:14, but that they failed to take the word out of Matthew 1:23 when they quoted Isaiah 7:14. To me, beloved, it looks like the Lord purposely caused them to cross themselves just to show the folly of man and the blunders that man can get into as he attempts to destroy the Word of God.

Let me remind you ere I go one step further that I am a firm believer in the virgin birth of the Lord Jesus Christ. I believe that when the Son of God was born into this world that He was born of Mary, who was His human mother, and that she was merely the receptacle whereby that Jesus Christ was born into this world, that she had never known man, and that she herself was entirely a virgin and as such, she conceived the Lord Jesus Christ of the Holy Spirit, and when Jesus was born, He was born not as a result of the combined seed of man and woman, as you and I are born, but rather He was born of the seed of the woman as was prophesied in Genesis 3:15. No man can believe more strongly nor more firmly in the virgin birth of Jesus than your pastor.

May I cite in passing that the little bee, the honey gatherer—his method of procreation is by parthenogenesis, which means "generation by a virgin." Beloved, if God always used generation by a virgin to bring forth bees, and to propagate the bee family in the world, surely the great God of the universe can set aside the laws of nature once if He wishes in order that His Son might come into the world as the seed of the woman, and a child of a virgin, without a human father. Surely our Lord can do so.

I say then that that is my first reason and objection to the new, modern unholy Bible. You can see why I refer to it as an unholy Bible, for a Bible which assiduously attempts to remove the virgin birth of Jesus Christ from it, no longer deserves the name of Holy Bible. In its attempt to remove the virgin birth, it becomes unholy.

II

Not only is it true that the virgin birth comes in for removal, but the deity of the Lord Jesus Christ is likewise objected to and removed in every instance where it was possible for the translators to do so.

For example, in John 3:16 reading, first of all, from the King James Version:

"For God so loved the world that he gave his only BEGOTTEN Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life."

In the new Bible, in John 3:16 we read:

"For God so loved the world that he gave his only Son, that whoever believes in him should not perish but have eternal life."

You will notice the word "begotten" is left out in the new modern translation. That word "begotten" is a very important word. You and I are born; Jesus Christ was begotten. You and I, beloved, were born as a result of the combined seed of man and woman; Jesus Christ was the only begotten Son of God. This is nothing more nor less than a slap in the face of God, in that it strikes at the deity of the Lord Jesus Christ.

Then in Luke 2:33 we have a similar instance where the deity of Christ is assailed. It says:

"And Joseph and his mother marveled at those things which were spoken of him."

Now turn to the unholy Bible and we read in Luke 2:33:

"And his father and his mother were marveled at what was said about him."

In the King James Version it says "Joseph and his mother" in the new Bible it says "his father and his mother," signifying that Jesus Christ was not the son of Joseph, for it refers to Joseph as his father.

Beloved, that wasn't any mistake. It didn't slip in by chance, no. It took a strong twist on the part of the translators to make it so. I read as though Joseph were the father of the Lord Jesus Christ.

Here is another reference of like nature in Micah 5:2, which is a prophecy relative to the birth of the Lord Jesus Christ, foretelling the very place where Jesus was to be born. In the King James Version we read:

"But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from old, from EVERLASTING."

In the new Bible we read:

"But you, O Bethlehem Ephratah, who are little to be among the clans of Judah, from you shall come forth for me one who is to be ruler in Israel, whose origin is from of old, from ancient days."

In the King James Version it says that the Lord Jesus Christ has been "from everlasting"; in the new Bible it says that he has been "from ancient days." There is a lot of difference in saying that the Son of God existed from everlasting and in saying that He is from ancient days. It is a definite, distinct attempt on the part of the translators to deny the previous origin of the Lord Jesus Christ and to deny the pre-existence of the Son of God. In Micah 5:2 in the King James Version, it is said that Jesus Christ had existed from everlasting. He has always been God. He is always to be God. In Micah 5:2 in the (Continued on page three)

## RESERVATION COUPON

I plan to attend the Thanksgiving Missionary Conference at Russell, Kentucky.

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Address \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_

Will come alone \_\_\_\_\_ Will bring \_\_\_\_\_ men with me. Will bring \_\_\_\_\_ women with me. Will bring \_\_\_\_\_ children with me. Will arrive \_\_\_\_\_.



## "The New Modern Unholy Bible"

Continued from page two)  
unholy Bible, the pre-existence of the Lord Jesus is taken away.

Notice again in Psalms 2:11-12 the King James Version:  
"Serve the Lord with fear, and rejoice with trembling. KISS THE SON, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way, when his wrath is kindled but a little. Blessed are all they that put their trust in him."

In the new unholy Bible we have:  
"Serve the Lord with fear, and tremble with trembling. KISS THE SON, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way, when his wrath is kindled but a little. Blessed are all they that put their trust in him."

In the King James Version of the Bible, Jesus has a reference to the Son of God, but in the new, modern translation it leaves out every reference to the Lord Jesus Christ, and the Son of God is not even mentioned at all. It is merely an attempt on the part of the translators to remove the deity of the Lord Jesus Christ from that verse of Scripture.

However, the most outstanding and the most glaring attempt at the destruction of the deity of Jesus is found in Romans 9:5. In the King James Version we read:

"Whose are the fathers, and of whom as concerning the flesh Christ came, who is over all, GOD BLESSED FOR EVER. Amen."

In the new unholy Bible we have:  
"To them belong the patriarchs, and of their race, according to the flesh, is the Christ. He was of whom it is said, Blessed be the name of the Lord forever. Amen."

In the King James Version it says: "To them belong the patriarchs, and of their race, according to the flesh, is the Christ. He was of whom it is said, Blessed be the name of the Lord forever. Amen." In the new unholy Bible we have:  
"To them belong the patriarchs, and of their race, according to the flesh, is the Christ. He was of whom it is said, Blessed be the name of the Lord forever. Amen."

### III

Somehow the English of the King James Version has always seemed to me to be unusually reverent. You read in the King James Version "thee" and "thou". There is a reverence instilled in the minds of people when they read those pronouns "thee" and "thou," but when you turn to the new, unholy Bible, you will find that these words are removed entirely. Not a time do you find these pronouns in this new version. Instead, beloved, you find "you" and "yours" occurring in every place, whereas in the King James Version you will find "thee" and "thou." I will cite you two instances just to give you a sample.

Take for example in Matthew 16:16 when Jesus spoke of the building of His church. In the King James Version we read:

"Simon Peter replied, You are the Christ, the Son of the living God."

Contrast this with the King James Version, when he said:

"And Simon Peter answered and said, Thou art the Christ,

the Son of the living God."

To give you an example from the Old Testament, we read in Psalms 2:7 from the King James Version:

"I will declare the decree: the Lord hath said unto me, Thou art my Son; this day have I begotten thee."

Now read Psalms 2:7 in the new unholy Bible and note how the pronouns have been changed.

"I will tell you of the decree of the Lord: He said to me, You are my son, today I have begotten you."

That may sound right to some folk, but, beloved, there is a lack of dignity, and a lack of reverence in the changing of these pronouns and in the putting in of these words in modern everyday speech such as you and I use.

I was rather impressed by an editorial in the "Evening Star" of Washington, D. C., under the date of October 1st, when the editor in his editorial, "Something Has Been Lost," said:

"It is disappointing to find that the moving poetry and cadence of such sentences as 'He maketh me to lie down in green pastures: He leadeth me beside still waters' have been lost in the change to 'He makes me lie down in green pastures. He leads me beside still waters.' Another such loss is found in the passage from St. Luke: 'But Jesus called them unto him, and said, Suffer little children to come unto me, and forbid them not: For of such is the Kingdom of God.' The verse now becomes, 'But Jesus called to him, saying, Let the children come to me, and do not hinder them; for to such belong the kingdom of God.'"

Then the editor adds this expression:

"One is inclined to believe that, despite the great circulation planned for the Revised Bible, it will not displace the King James Version."

Beloved, that is exactly my attitude in regard to it. I repeat, there is a reverence in the handling of the Bible as in the Authorized King James Version. I like the expression "He leadeth me" rather than "He leads me." I like the expression "Thou art the Christ" instead of saying "You are the Christ." I say, beloved, there is a reverence about the Scriptures that is taken away by the new, modern unholy Bible.

### IV

There is a fourth reason why I have no place for the new Bible and that is that there are so many other errors. For example, in the baptism of the Ethiopian eunuch in the eighth chapter of Acts we find that at least one verse is left out in the new, modern Bible. In the King James Version we read:

"And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God."—Acts 8:37.

Beloved, I can't read you the contrast from the new Bible because it isn't there. When you come to the new Bible you have the 36th verse and then the 38th verse, for the 37th verse is just left out entirely. It just isn't there.

Now why do you suppose it was left out? I will give you a guess. That 37th verse says, "If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest," signifying that the proper person for baptism is a person capable of believing. But you know, beloved, the baby baptizers would like to get rid of that. All the folk who believe in baptizing babies would like to get rid of the fact that you have to believe before you can be baptized. Therefore,

## WORDS TO BANK ON



it was quite convenient for them to leave out Acts 8:37.

Then there is another Scripture which is left out entirely in the sixteenth chapter of the Gospel of Mark. The whole resurrection story is left out. Verses nine through nineteen are all omitted. I might say that in this new unholy Bible they give a footnote at the bottom of the page showing why it should be left out.

Then, beloved, we have another instance in the eighth chapter of the Gospel of John. In the first eleven verses we have the story of Jesus dealing with the woman taken in adultery. You remember that precious story, that wonderful gem of Scripture, which portrays the forgiving nature of our Lord Jesus Christ, and which shows Him to be a forgiver of sins perhaps greater than any other Scripture in all the New Testament. Yet, beloved, when you come to the new, modern unholy Bible, you will find that that portion of God's Word is left out entirely, so that the eighth chapter of John doesn't begin with the first verse, but rather begins with the twelfth verse, so that all eleven verses are completely left out in this new, modern Bible.

Now I don't know how it may strike you to pick up a Bible and find that Acts 8:37, Mark 16:9-19, and John 8:1-11 have all been left out. Here are twenty-odd verses of God's Word that have been left out. I will tell you how it strikes me. Beloved, if you are going to leave out those twenty-odd verses, why not take all the balance of it, and throw it all overboard, and then build a monument Heaven high to Tom Paine and Bob Ingersoll and all the rest of the

infidels of the world, and forget about the Word of God entirely. If you are going to take out part, you might just as well take it all.

But I remember reading in God's Book in Rev. 22:18, 19, where God has already pronounced a curse upon the translators of the new, modern translation of God's Word. Listen:

"For I testify unto every man that hearth the words of the prophecy of this book, If any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book: And if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book."

Beloved, I wouldn't want the responsibility resting upon me that is resting upon them after they have removed these Scriptures from the Word of God.

I might go further and say that every time the word "Calvary" is mentioned in the King James Version, it is removed from the modern translation, so that you don't find the word "Calvary" one time in the new, modern translation of the Bible.

I might cite to you also that in the sixth chapter of Matthew when our Lord was giving a form or a model of prayer, which is known by the world as the Lord's Prayer, that the translators left out the last part of the thirteenth verse. In the King James Version we read:

"And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil: For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, forever. Amen."

In the new Bible we read:

"And lead us not into temp-

tation, But deliver us from evil."

You will notice that all reference to the eternal existence and the eternal kingdom of the Lord Jesus Christ is left out altogether. Beloved, that is a good reason why I have no use for this Bible — these omissions, these errors, and this leaving out of the Word of God.

### V

Let me give you a fifth reason why I must repudiate and reject this Bible. I would remind you as to whom is back of it. It was started by the old Federal Council of Churches sixteen years ago, but you know, beloved, the Federal Council of Churches got so corrupt and so rotten and so modernistic that the name itself smelled badly, so that of recent years it has been changed to the American Council of Churches. Beloved, you can change the name, but it is the same group entirely. When you remember that the Federal Council of Churches, now called the American Council of Churches, is the group that is back of it, I know it is no good. There isn't anything that the American Council of Churches, formerly called the Federal Council of Churches, has ever sponsored that is worth anything whatsoever to any church. Every president that they have ever had was a modernist. Every president of the American Council of Churches has denied the virgin birth, the deity, the blood atonement, and the resurrection of the Lord Jesus Christ. I won't take time to read it but I can (Continued on page four)



## "The New Modern Unholy Bible"

(Continued from page three) prove it to you this morning by their own quotations. Every man who has ever headed the Federal Council of Churches has been a modernist and has denied the virgin birth, the deity, the blood atonement, and the resurrection of the Lord Jesus Christ. Beloved, with that crowd sponsoring a Bible, would you expect anything good to come from it?

Let me call attention to a few translators. The chairman of of these thirty-two who have translated this Bible is a man by the name of Luther A. Weigle. He is a professor in Yale. Would you believe me this morning that Luther A. Weigle is an outstanding modernist himself, and worse than that, he has been cited at least five times for communistic activities on the part of the Federal government.

Let me go a little further. There is Harry M. Orlinsky, a Jew, of the Jewish Institute of New York City. You know a Jew doesn't believe in Jesus Christ. How could you expect a Jew to translate anything that has to do with the Son of God?

Then again, there is Walter Russell Bowie of Union Theological Seminary. He is not only a radical as to religion, but I can prove this morning that he is a man who has been cited fifteen times for his communistic connections — can you imagine this man being honest enough to translate the Word of God? I might say that the very fact that he is a professor of Union Theological Seminary is an indication that he is a modernist, for, beloved, they haven't had a man in Union Theological

Seminary for the last twenty-five years who believed the Word of God.

I might say that three of the rest of these translators are professors of Union Theological Seminary. The fact of the matter is, you would have to search with a magnifying glass to find a man in that list of translators that isn't tintured somewhere with modernism.

I want to pause to note one Baptist translator particularly. It has been cited that Kyle M. Yates, who was a professor in the Seminary at Louisville, is one of the thirty-two translators. It is true that Kyle M. Yates was professor of Old Testament in the Seminary, but, beloved, a few years ago there was a young fellow down at Louisville who graduated from the Seminary, and for his graduating thesis, he presented a modernistic paper. I speak of Das Kelly Barnett. Beloved, Kyle M. Yates defended him for his modernism.

I tell you, beloved, there is not a man in this group of translators but what is a modernist or tintured in some wise by the virus of modernism.

### CONCLUSION

I say then to you, beloved, this is the modernist's Bible. To me it is not an Holy Bible; it is an unholy Bible.

Several years ago one of the early translations of the Bible that was made in England was made by a man who was an atheist, but who had a publishing house, and for the purpose of making money he published an edition of the Bible. When he came to the Ten Commandments, he took that commandment which says, "Thou shalt not commit adultery," and he

left out the word "not" and published it, reading, "Thou shall commit adultery." It was listed immediately by the king as an unholy Bible. Every copy of that edition of the Bible was gathered and burned, and the king assessed such a fine upon that publisher that it bankrupt his publishing house.

Beloved, so far as I am concerned this is just as an unholy Bible as the one that the king condemned.

Let me tell you a story—a Bible story. Jeremiah was one of God's prophets, and one day God gave Jeremiah a message. He wrote it in the form of a roll. He sent a copy of it to the king — King Jehoiakim. When the king sat down to read it, he read it with the nobles and with all the pomp and royalty of the country assembled before him. It was a cool day when they were reading this book of Jeremiah, and there was a fireplace in the room, with a fire therein. As they would read a portion of this book of Jeremiah, the king would interrupt when there was something displeasing or something that he did not like, and he would have them take a pen knife and cut it out and throw it into the fire. Time and time and time again King Jehoiakim interrupted the scribe in his reading, and he had this book of Jeremiah cut to shreds and discarded and destroyed in the fire.

Beloved, that didn't keep the book of Jeremiah from being a part of the Bible. God said, "Now, Jeremiah, sit down and I will tell it to you all over again," and God spoke the whole thing over to Jeremiah. At the same time, Jeremiah added something else at the request of God. He told the story of

how King Jehoiakim had treated the previous copy of the book of Jeremiah. Beloved, it is right here in God's Word just because God didn't allow that old king to destroy the book.

I am saying to you, dear Christian friends, God isn't going to allow the modernists to destroy the Bible. My text says, "For ever, O Lord, thy word is settled in heaven."

Listen again:

"Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away."—Mt. 24:35.

"But the word of the Lord endureth for ever."—I Pet. 1:25.

Oh, yes, what happened to King Jehoiakim? A little while later he was captured by his enemies, and they took an auger and bored his eyes out of their sockets. They built a cage for him like you would build for a wild animal, and they shut him up in a cage and they started to take him as a prisoner, captive to Babylon. The Word of God tells us that even before they got to Babylon, King Jehoiakim died and there was no lamentation made for him.

Beloved, I offer this prediction this morning. The men who have given to the world this new, modern Bible, when they come to die, there will be no lamentation made for them on the part of the people of God. This old Book is going to last. Surely as there is a God in Heaven this old Book will last, and I thank God today, for the privilege of preaching it. May God bless you!

### Mass Baptism

(Continued from page one) the biggest mass baptismal serv-

ice of modern times.

The ritual, which attracted hundreds of spectators who peered from windows and lined the rooftops of adjacent apartment buildings, was part of the international assembly of the cult — the attendance of which was 132,811.

The converts were brought from the assembly grounds at Yankee Stadium in 12 buses. They lined up for blocks, waiting to be immersed.

In the public pool taken over for the affair at 135th and Broadway, 12 ministers waist deep in water welcomed the converts. As the converts waded in, the ministers grasped and submerged them back downward.

The ritual, they said, symbolizes death and rebirth into dedication to Jehovah. The baptisms occurred at the rate of about one every three seconds.

Since this sect does not believe in the Holy Trinity, the deity of the Lord Jesus Christ, the personality of the Holy Spirit, the bodily resurrection of the Lord Jesus, and Hell as a place of punishment, one wonders why they call themselves "Witnesses." Would not "De-niers" be a more appropriate appellation?

### The Jew

(Continued from page one) hanes is very rarely known other than among profound students of history; even the iron legions of Rome and the government of the Caesars has long since been forgotten. Yet the Jews remain with us.

Since they have been thus bitterly persecuted, we logically ask, "Why is it they have not been destroyed?" "For I am with thee, saith the Lord, to save thee; though I make a full end of all nations whither I have scattered thee, yet will I not make a full end of thee; but I will correct thee in measure, and will not leave thee altogether unpunished."—Jer. 30:11.

When God first chose Abraham to be the father of the Jewish race, He gave them a promise; that is still being kept by God to this day. "Now the Lord had said unto Abram, Get thee out of thy country, and from thy kindred, and from thy father's house, unto a land that I will shew thee; And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing: And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse them that curseth thee; and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed."—Gen. 12:1-3. Other nations have perished completely, but not so with the Jews; they have continued and have not been destroyed, all because of the promises of God.

### SIN READY TO ENTER

A young friend was one day calling upon an old Christian woman, nearly eighty years of age, who was waiting for the summons. Said this friend, "Oh, Granny, I wish I was as sure of heaven, and as near it, as you are!" With a look of unspeakable emotion, the old woman answered, "And do you really think the devil cannot find his way up an old woman's garret-stair? Oh, if He hadn't said, 'Neither shall any man pluck them out of my hand,' I would have wandered away long ago."

THE BAPTIST EXAMINER  
PAGE FOUR

NOVEMBER 7, 1953

## A Better Than Ever Week Of Your Life

### THANKSGIVING MISSIONARY CONFERENCE

WHEN?

NOVEMBER 23-27, 1953

WHERE?

FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH, RUSSELL, KY.

WHO CAN ATTEND?

Everyone who is interested in God's Word and missions is invited and urged to be present.

THE COST?

Rooms and meals will be gladly furnished freely in behalf of all Christian workers who attend.

WHO WILL BE THERE?

Already we have reservations from 12 states and others are expected. Folk are coming from Florida, Colorado, Louisiana, Texas, North Carolina, West Virginia, Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan.

SEND IN YOUR RESERVATION TODAY

A Perfect Place For A Spiritual Retreat